

Radiative Corrections to the Neutral Higgs Spectrum in Supersymmetry with a Gauge Singlet

Ulrich Ellwanger¹

Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Heidelberg
Philosophenweg 16, D-6900 Heidelberg, FRG

Abstract:

The radiative corrections to the 3×3 scalar and the 2×2 pseudoscalar neutral Higgs boson mass matrices are calculated in the supersymmetric extension of the standard model including a gauge singlet superfield in the effective potential approach. The full t and b quark/squark contributions including the nonlogarithmic terms are taken into account, which are seen to affect the result significantly. An analytic formula for the upper bound on the mass of the lightest neutral Higgs scalar including these corrections is given.

¹Supported by a DFG Heisenberg fellowship, e-mail: I96 at VM.URZ.UNI-HEIDELBERG.DE

The supersymmetric extension of the standard model leads to constraints on the masses of the Higgs particles. Within the minimal extension the mass of the lightest neutral Higgs scalar is bounded from above by M_Z at tree level. Recently radiative corrections to this upper bound have been computed by several authors [1]-[9] with the result that contributions involving a large top quark Yukawa coupling can increase it by ~ 60 GeV.

Within a supersymmetric extension involving a gauge singlet superfield [10]-[14] the corresponding tree level upper bound depends on the value of a dimensionless coupling λ similar to the non-supersymmetric standard model. Assuming, however, the absence of Landau-type singularities in the running coupling constants up to a GUT scale of $\sim 10^{16}$ GeV, λ is bounded from above [12]-[16] implying a tree level upper bound on the lightest neutral Higgs mass of ~ 160 GeV. (The natural range of this mass for genuine choices of parameters has been estimated in [17].) Also in this model radiative corrections to the upper bound involving the top Yukawa coupling have been computed using renormalization group techniques [18]-[23].

These techniques, however, only take the logarithms of the ratio of the susy-breaking scale and the top quark mass into account, and since these logarithms are not very large, this is not necessarily a good approximation. Only in [24], [25] also non-logarithmic contributions have been taken into consideration.

It is the purpose of the present letter to compute the radiative corrections to the full 3×3 scalar and the 2×2 pseudoscalar mass matrices of the neutral Higgs bosons in this model using the effective potential approach. We include all contributions induced by the top or bottom Yukawa couplings, which are due to top/bottom squark/quark loops. (The other Yukawa and gauge couplings are genuinely smaller, and these contributions involve no colour factor $N_c = 3$. At least in the minimal model they affect the final result only by a few GeV [3],[8].) We end up with an analytic formula for the upper bound on the mass of the lightest neutral Higgs scalar, which includes the non-logarithmic radiative corrections. This allows a test of the leading log or renormalization group techniques, which fails significantly. A posteriori it justifies the procedure carried out in [25]. (A similar formula for the upper bound has also been obtained by Comelli [24], but there an expansion in the splitting between the two top squark masses has been performed.)

The relevant part of the superpotential g of the model has the form

$$g = h_t Q \cdot H_2 T_R^c + h_b Q \cdot H_1 B_R^c + \lambda H_1 \cdot H_2 S + \frac{k}{3} S^3 \quad (1)$$

where colour indices are suppressed and

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} T_L \\ B_L \end{pmatrix}, H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1^0 \\ H_1^- \end{pmatrix}, H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ H_2^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q \cdot H = Q_i \epsilon^{ij} H_j \text{ etc.} \quad (2)$$

The soft supersymmetry breaking trilinear couplings and masses are given by (subsequently the fields denote no longer chiral superfields as in (1), but complex scalars)

$$\begin{aligned} & (h_t A_b Q \cdot H_2 T^c + h_b A_b Q \cdot H_1 B^c + \lambda A_\lambda H_1 H_2 S + \frac{k}{3} A_k S^3) + \text{h.c.} \\ & + m_1^2 |H_1|^2 + m_2^2 |H_2|^2 + m_S^2 |S|^2 + m_Q^2 |Q|^2 + m_T^2 |T|^2 + m_B^2 |B|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We assume the Yukawa and trilinear couplings to be real. The scalar potential contains the standard F - and D -terms, the soft susy-breaking terms and in addition the one loop radiative correction of the form

$$V^{rad} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \text{Str}[M^4 \ln(M^2/Q^2)]. \quad (4)$$

(In the literature slightly different formulas can be found which are related to each other and the present one by a redefinition of the renormalization point Q^2 .) Next we assume vevs of the fields H_1 , H_2 and S of the form

$$\langle H_1 \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle H_2 \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle S \rangle = s \quad (5)$$

with h_1, h_2 and s real. The equations for extrema of the full scalar potential in these directions in field space read

$$h_1[m_1^2 + \lambda^2(h_2^2 + s^2) + \frac{\hat{g}}{2}(h_1^2 - h_2^2)] + \lambda h_2 s (ks + A_\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} V_{,h_1}^{rad} = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$h_2[m_2^2 + \lambda^2(h_1^2 + s^2) + \frac{\hat{g}}{2}(h_2^2 - h_1^2)] + \lambda h_1 s (ks + A_\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} V_{,h_2}^{rad} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$s[m_S^2 + \lambda^2(h_1^2 + h_2^2) + 2k^2 s^2 + 2\lambda k h_1 h_2 + k A_k s] + \lambda A_\lambda h_1 h_2 + \frac{1}{2} V_{,s}^{rad} = 0 \quad (8)$$

with $\hat{g} = \frac{1}{2}(g_1^2 + g_2^2)$. The elements of the neutral scalar 3×3 mass matrix M_s^2 read in the basis $(\text{Re } H_1^0, \text{Re } H_2^0, \text{Re } S)$ after the elimination of m_1^2, m_2^2 and m_S^2 using eqs. (6-8):

$$\begin{aligned} M_{s,11}^2 &= \hat{g} h_1^2 - \lambda s \frac{h_2}{h_1} (ks + A_\lambda) + \Delta_{11}, \\ M_{s,22}^2 &= \hat{g} h_2^2 - \lambda s \frac{h_1}{h_2} (ks + A_\lambda) + \Delta_{22}, \\ M_{s,33}^2 &= 4k^2 s^2 + k A_k s - \lambda A_\lambda \frac{h_1 h_2}{s} + \Delta_{33}, \\ M_{s,12}^2 &= (2\lambda^2 - \hat{g}) h_1 h_2 + \lambda s (ks + A_\lambda) + \Delta_{12}, \\ M_{s,13}^2 &= 2\lambda^2 s h_1 + \lambda h_2 (ks + A_\lambda) + \Delta_{13}, \\ M_{s,23}^2 &= 2\lambda^2 s h_2 + \lambda h_1 (ks + A_\lambda) + \Delta_{23}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

with

$$\Delta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} V_{,ij}^{rad} \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad (10)$$

$$\Delta_{ii} = \frac{1}{2} V_{,ii}^{rad} - \frac{1}{2v_i} V_{,i}^{rad} \quad (11)$$

where no sum over i is implied in eq. (11), and the index i attached to V^{rad} as well as v_i denote h_1, h_2, s for $i = 1, 2, 3$. For the neutral pseudoscalar 2×2 mass matrix

M_p^2 , which is left after the Goldstone boson has been eaten by the Z -boson, we just give the tree level result (again after the elimination of m_1^2, m_2^2 and m_S^2):

$$M_p^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda \frac{(A_\lambda + ks)s(h_1^2 + h_2^2)}{h_1 h_2} & \lambda(2ks - A_\lambda)(h_1^2 + h_2^2)^{1/2} \\ \lambda(2ks - A_\lambda)(h_1^2 + h_2^2)^{1/2} & -\lambda h_1 h_2 \left(4k + \frac{A_\lambda}{s}\right) - 3k A_k s \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Next we have to evaluate the radiative correction V^{rad} to the tree level potential according to eq. (4). To start with, we only take the top squark- and quark-loops into account. Thus we need the 2×2 top squark mass matrix of the top squarks T_R^c, T_L and the top quark mass in an arbitrary background H_1^0, H_2^0 and S (here we anticipate the computation of the corrections to the pseudoscalar mass matrix). In the basis (T_R^c, T_L^*) the top squark mass matrix is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_t^2 |H_2^0|^2 + m_T^2 & h_t(A_t H_2^{0*} + \lambda S H_1^0) \\ h_t(A_t H_2^0 + \lambda S^* H_1^{0*}) & h_t^2 |H_2^0|^2 + m_Q^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

and the top quark mass by

$$m_t^2 = h_t^2 |H_2^0|^2. \quad (14)$$

The eigenvalues of the top squark mass matrix read

$$\begin{aligned} m_{T_1, T_2}^2 &= h_t^2 |H_2^0|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m_T^2 + m_Q^2) \pm w \\ \text{with } w &= \left[\frac{(m_Q^2 - m_T^2)^2}{4} + h_t^2 |A_t H_2^{0*} + \lambda S H_1^0|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In order to calculate the corrections Δ_{ij} to the scalar mass matrix, we have to replace H_1^0 by h_1 , H_2^0 by h_2 , and S by s in (14) and (15), and to insert the corresponding masses into the formula (4) for V_{rad} . Then the Δ_{ij} are obtained from eqs. (10), (11). The results are most easily expressed in terms of the following expressions X, Y and Z :

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \frac{3h_t^2 \lambda}{32\pi^2} \left[\frac{h_t^2 h_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m_Q^2 + m_T^2)}{w} \ln(m_{T_1}^2 / m_{T_2}^2) + \ln(m_{T_1}^2 m_{T_2}^2 / Q^4) + 1 \right] \\ Y &= \frac{3h_t^4}{32\pi^2} \frac{(\lambda h_1 s + A_t h_2)^2}{w^2} \left[2 - \frac{(h_t^2 h_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m_Q^2 + m_T^2))}{w} \ln(m_{T_1}^2 / m_{T_2}^2) \right] \\ Z &= \frac{3h_t^4}{16\pi^2} \frac{h_2(\lambda h_1 s + A_t h_2)}{w} \ln(m_{T_1}^2 / m_{T_2}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The corrections Δ_{ij} to the scalar mass matrix are then found to be

$$\Delta_{11} = -\frac{A_t h_2 s}{h_1} X + \lambda^2 s^2 Y$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{22} &= -\frac{A_t h_1 s}{h_2} X + A_t^2 Y + 2A_t Z + \frac{3h_t^4 h_2^2}{8\pi^2} \ln(m_{T_1}^2 m_{T_2}^2 / m_t^4) \\
\Delta_{33} &= -\frac{A_t h_1 h_2}{s} X + \lambda^2 h_1^2 Y \\
\Delta_{12} &= A_t s X + \lambda A_t s Y + \lambda s Z \\
\Delta_{13} &= (2\lambda s h_1 + A_t h_2) X + \lambda^2 s h_1 Y \\
\Delta_{23} &= A_t h_1 X + \lambda A_t h_1 Y + \lambda h_1 Z.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The minimal extension of the supersymmetric standard model can actually be obtained as a special limit of the present model in the form

$$k = 0, \quad \lambda \rightarrow 0, s \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda s = \mu \quad \text{fixed}, \quad \lambda A_\lambda s = m_3^2. \tag{18}$$

In this limit the results for the upper left 2×2 submatrix of M_s^2 can be compared with the ones of ref. [5], and we found complete agreement.

Next we turn to the radiative corrections to the pseudoscalar mass matrix. First we have to expand the quark and squark masses (14) and (15) around the vevs (5) into imaginary directions in field space:

$$H_1^0 \rightarrow h_1 + i\text{Im}(H_1^0), \quad H_2^0 \rightarrow h_2 + i\text{Im}(H_2^0), \quad S \rightarrow s + i\text{Im}(S). \tag{19}$$

This expansion has to be inserted into the formula (4) for V^{rad} , and V^{rad} , in turn, has to be expanded up to second order in $\text{Im}(H_1^0)$, $\text{Im}(H_2^0)$ and $\text{Im}(S)$. This allows us to read off directly the radiative corrections to the 3×3 pseudoscalar mass matrix in the basis $\text{Im}(H_1^0)$, $\text{Im}(H_2^0)$ and $\text{Im}(S)$. The radiative corrections have to be added to the tree level part of the pseudoscalar mass matrix in this basis, which we have not shown above for simplicity. After the elimination of m_1^2, m_2^2 and m_3^2 with the help of eqs. (6-8) it turns out that the Goldstone boson is given by the same linear combination of pseudoscalar fields as at tree level. It is possible to take account of the complete radiative corrections to the remaining 2×2 pseudoscalar mass matrix using the following simple rule: Wherever A_λ appears in the tree level mass matrix given by eq. (12), perform the following substitution:

$$\lambda A_\lambda \rightarrow \lambda A_\lambda + A_t X. \tag{20}$$

Actually this substitution describes nearly the complete X dependence of the radiative corrections Δ_{ij} to the scalar mass matrix as well. This concludes the t quark and squark induced corrections to M_s^2 and M_p^2 . As noted in [5], the explicit Q^2 dependence in these formulas is cancelled by the implicit Q^2 dependence of running couplings; in the present approximation it is the running of m_1^2, A_λ and the running of the couplings within $|F_{H_2}|^2$ induced by wave function renormalization of the superfield H_2 , which have to be taken into account.

The radiative corrections induced by b quark and squark loops are most easily obtained after observing the symmetry of the model under $(h_t, A_t, m_T^2, T_L, T_R, H_2) \leftrightarrow (h_b, A_b, m_B^2, B_L, B_R, H_1)$. Accordingly it is convenient to define twiddled corrections $\tilde{\Delta}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}}$ to M_s^2 by the rule

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\tilde{i}\tilde{j}} = \Delta_{ij}(h_t, A_t, m_T^2, h_1, h_2 \rightarrow h_b, A_b, m_B^2, h_2, h_1) \tag{21}$$

where the indices $\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}$ are related to i, j by an interchange of 1 and 2. Now the full radiative corrections to M_s^2 are given by eqs. (9) with Δ_{ij} replaced by $\Delta_{ij} + \tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$ everywhere, and the $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$ can be read off eqs. (17) after using the rule (21). Also the b -quark- and squark-induced corrections to the pseudoscalar mass matrix are that simple; instead of (20) we have to perform the substitution

$$\lambda A_\lambda \rightarrow \lambda A_\lambda + A_t X + A_b \tilde{X} \quad (22)$$

where $\tilde{X}$ is defined analogously to $\tilde{\Delta}_{ij}$ in eq. (21).

In all cases we are able to compare the results with the ones obtained within the minimal extension in [5] after the limit (18), and find agreement. This concludes the main results of the present paper. Of course, the radiative corrections to M_s^2 and M_p^2 are not of great importance, unless some knowledge of the tree level parameters of the model is assumed. Such knowledge can be obtained if assumptions on the parameters at a big scale as the GUT scale are made, and the parameters are scaled down to the electroweak scale with the help of the renormalization group equations [11]. In particular an upper bound on the coupling λ can be obtained this way which, in turn, implies an upper bound on the mass of the lightest neutral scalar Higgs particle [12]-[25]. Our results allow to find the non-logarithmic radiative corrections to this upper bound, and subsequently we will restrict ourselves to the t -quark and squark contributions again.

First we note that any diagonal element of the 3×3 scalar mass matrix M_s^2 constitutes such an upper bound. This holds also for any diagonal element of $O^T M_s^2 O$, where O is an arbitrary orthogonal matrix. Let us thus study $O^T M_s^2 O$ with

$$O = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & -\sin \beta & 0 \\ \sin \beta & \cos \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tan \beta = \frac{h_2}{h_1}. \quad (23)$$

The middle diagonal element of $O^T M_s^2 O$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} & M_Z^2 [\cos^2 2\beta + \frac{\lambda^2}{\hat{g}^2} \sin^2 2\beta] \\ & + \sin^2 \beta [A_t^2 Y + 2A_t Z + \frac{3h_t^2 m_t^2}{8\pi^2} \ln(m_{T_1}^2 m_{T_2}^2 / m_t^4)] \\ & + \cos^2 \beta \lambda^2 s^2 Y + \sin 2\beta \lambda s [A_t Y + Z]. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The first line of (24) contains the tree level upper bound of [13], and the logarithmic term in the second line is the only one taken care of within a leading log or renormalization group approach to this problem (usually under the assumption $m_{T_1}^2 \sim m_{T_2}^2 \sim m_Q^2 \sim m_T^2$ [17]-[23], and note that the quantity X with its explicit Q^2

dependence has completely disappeared from (24). After an expansion in $m_{T_1}^2 - m_{T_2}^2$ one recovers the result of ref. [24] up to terms involving gauge couplings.)

In order to exhibit the numerical significance of the different parts of the radiative corrections to the first line in (24), we plot the upper bound on the mass of the lightest neutral scalar Higgs particle of (24) versus the top quark mass m_t in fig. 1 for the following typical choice of parameters: $\lambda = .5$, $A_t = 500$ GeV, $m_Q^2 = (500 \text{ GeV})^2$, $m_T^2 = (300 \text{ GeV})^2$, $s = 500$ GeV. For $\tan \beta$ we choose $\tan \beta = 1$ (full line) and $\tan \beta = 5$ (dash-dotted line). The dotted part of these curves denotes the region where the value of the top quark Yukawa coupling h_t exceeds 1.1, and thus h_t runs into a Landau-type singularity below a GUT scale of $\sim 10^{16}$ GeV [16]. The tree level upper bound, the first line of (24), is given by 87 GeV for $\tan \beta = 1$ and 90 GeV for $\tan \beta = 5$. Also shown in fig. 1 is the upper bound, if only the leading log radiative correction is taken into account, as a dashed line (for $\tan \beta = 5$).

We clearly see the numerical difference between the leading log and the full result, which now can be obtained easily for any choice of the parameters from eq. (24). Accordingly the results of [18]-[23] on the upper bound of the lightest Higgs scalar have to be taken with some care. Justified, on the other hand, is the procedure in [24], [25] in order to obtain the corresponding upper bound.

References

- [1] Y. Okada, M. Yamaguchi, and T. Yanagida, Prog. Theor. Phys. Lett. **85** (1991) 1 and Phys. Lett. **B262** (1991) 54.
- [2] J. Ellis, G. Ridolfi, and F. Zwirner, Phys. Lett. **B257** (1991) 83.
- [3] H. Haber and R. Hempfling, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 1815.
- [4] R. Barbieri, M. Frigeni, and F. Caravaglios, Phys. Lett. **B258** (1991) 167.
- [5] J. Ellis, G. Ridolfi, and F. Zwirner, Phys. Lett. **B262** (1991) 477.
- [6] A. Yamada, Phys. Lett. **B263** (1991) 233.
- [7] J. Espinosa and M. Quiros, Phys. Lett. **B266** (1991) 389.
- [8] P. Chankowski, S. Pokorski, and J. Rosiek, Phys. Lett. **B274** (1992) 191.
- [9] A. Brignole, Phys. Lett. **B281** (1992) 284.
- [10] H. Nilles, M. Srednicki, and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. **B120** (1983) 346.
- [11] J.-P. Derendinger and C. Savoy, Nucl. Phys. **B237** (1984) 307.
- [12] J. Ellis, J. Gunion, H. Haber, L. Roszkowski, and F. Zwirner, Phys. Rev. **D39** (1989) 844.
- [13] M. Drees, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A4** (1989) 3635.

- [14] L. Durand and J. Lopez, Phys. Lett. **B217** (1989) 463.
- [15] P. Binetruy and C. Savoy, Phys. Lett. **B277** (1992) 453.
- [16] J. Espinosa and M. Quiros, Phys. Lett. **B279** (1992) 92.
- [17] U. Ellwanger and M. Rausch de Traubenberg, Z. Phys. C53 (1992) 521.
- [18] T. Moroi and Y. Okada, Phys. Lett. **B295** (1992) 73.
- [19] J. Espinosa and M. Quiros, preprint IEM-FT-60/92.
- [20] G. Kane, C. Kolda, and J. Wells, preprint UM-TH-92-24.
- [21] W. ter Veldhuis, preprint PURD-TH-92-11.
- [22] J. Espinosa and M. Quiros, preprint IEM-FT-64/92.
- [23] T. Elliott, S. F. King, and P. L. White, preprint SHEP 92/93-11.
- [24] D. Comelli, preprint UTS-DFT-92-30.
- [25] U. Ellwanger and M. Lindner, preprint HD-THEP-92-48 rev., to appear in Phys. Lett. B.

Figure Caption

Fig. 1: Upper bound on the mass of the lightest Higgs scalar according to eq. (24) for a choice of parameters as indicated in the text. Full line: $\tan \beta = 1$ (tree level result: 87 GeV), dash-dotted line: $\tan \beta = 5$ (tree level result: 90 GeV), dashed line: leading log result for $\tan \beta = 5$. Dotted part: h_t exceeds 1.1.